

ತ್ತೇವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅದು ಖರ್ಚಾಗುತ್ತದೆಯೇ ಇಲ್ಲವೇ ಎಂದು ವಿಚಾರಮಾಡಬೇಕು. ಅದರ ಅದನ್ನು ಈ ವರ್ಷವೇ ಉಪಯೋಗಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಆಗುತ್ತದೆಯೇ ಇಲ್ಲವೋ ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ.

**Sri Y. MANJAYA SHETTY.**—Would this scheme of granting scholarships be introduced in the other integrating areas of the State?

**ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ವೆಂಕಟಪ್ಪ.**—ಹೌದು. ಹಿಂದೆ ಇವರಿಗೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ಕೊಡಲಾಗುತ್ತಿತ್ತೋ ಅದನ್ನು ಶೇಕಡ 68ರಷ್ಟು ಎಲ್ಲ ಕಡೆಯಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಹೆಚ್ಚುಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಹನುಮಯ್ಯ.**—ಹೋದವರ್ಷ 4½ ಲಕ್ಷ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ಸ್ಕಾಲರ್‌ಶಿಪ್‌ಗಳಿಗಾಗಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದರು. ಈ ವರ್ಷ 2½ ಲಕ್ಷ ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಮಾತ್ರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ?

**ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ವೆಂಕಟಪ್ಪ.**—ಅವರು ಯಾವ ಅಂಕಿ ನೋಡಿದರೋ ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ಶೇಕಡ 68ರಷ್ಟು ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಅನುಮಾನವಿಲ್ಲ.

### Transfer of land held by Defence Department to State Government.

**Q.—1144. Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU (Cubbonpet).**—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether it is not a fact that lands or areas in Bangalore held by the Defence Department, Government of India, were handed over to the Government of Mysore in 1947;

(b) whether any negotiations were made with the Government of India for the rest of the lands still held by Government?

**A.—Sri C. M. POONACHA (Minister for Home Affairs and Industries).**—

(a) No.

(b) Yes.

**Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.**—Have the Government in their possession any schedule or survey of the lands that are in the possession of the Government of India which belonged to the State of Mysore?

**\*Sri C. M. POONACHA.**—Perhaps my Hon'ble friend is referring to a notification published on 26th July 1947 wherein the jurisdiction exercised by the Crown Representative in the area known as the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, was restored to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore with effect from that date and

to that notification a schedule is appended which indicates such of those areas which are reserved for the Defence Department and the railways.

**Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.**—No doubt, the notification states what is in their possession, but what is the further development or what is the further arrangement made to hand over or re-convey those properties to the Government of Mysore?

**Sri C. M. POONACHA.**—Under article 295 of the Constitution, such of those lands which were used for Union purposes prior to the coming into force of the Constitution will vest with the Union Government. So, those lands which were reserved for military purpose and were held by the Defence Department prior to the passing of the new Constitution vest with the Defence Department now. So, they will still continue to be so. Therefore, the question of getting back those lands will not arise.

**Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.**—As the Minister just now pointed out, there is a clear distinction between 1947 and the coming into force of the Constitution. I want to draw the attention of the Government to the agreement in that schedule. Under that agreement, what are the efforts made by the Government of Mysore to take back those lands from the Government of India and under what terms are they still holding those lands?

**Sri C. M. POONACHA.**—Those lands were held for Union purposes as enumerated in the schedule of the Notification. So, naturally all those lands and properties which were held and used for Union purpose will still continue to be so used and will vest with the Defence Department. So, that point does not arise.

**Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.**—May I take it that we have no say in that matter?

**Sri C. M. POONACHA.**—Certainly not. They vest in the Government of India and they are in their possession.

**Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.**—Arising out of this, what are the specific items which are the subject matter of negotiations?

**Sri C. M. POONACHA.**—There are a few plots of land in Bangalore which are now with the Defence Department but which do not actually vest with them and negotiations have been started and we have now got back the plot called the Corner House Plot and another area of about 50 acres occupied by the Headquarters, I.A.F. Recruitment Team, will be handed over to the State Government very shortly. We have received information to the effect that they are making arrangements to move the Headquarters to transfer those lands back to us.

**Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.**—What are the items of property which are permanently committed to be the property of the Government of India and what are the areas or items of properties over which we have still got a right and which we can get back?

**Sri C. M. POONACHA.**—The schedules A and B are lengthy. I would therefore refer my Hon'ble friend to the notification of this date. Still if he wants them, I will make a copy of the notification available to him.

**Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.**—So far as the two items of properties are concerned, one is occupied by the I.A.F. Training Command opposite or behind the Residency. The other item is on the Mahatma Gandhi Road occupied now by the Cricket Ground or what they call the Officers' Mess. These two items of properties are being used by the Government of India. I would like to know what is the kind of commitment the Government of Mysore has entered into in regard to these properties?

**Sri C. M. POONACHA.**—So far as these two items of properties are concerned, one, namely, the South Parade Plot vests with the Government of India permanently.

**Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.**—Have we got any hold on them or are we permanently committed to the Government of India so far as they are concerned?

**Sri C. M. POONACHA.**—So far as the area occupied by the Headquarters of the I.A.F. next to the Residency is concerned, negotiations have

been completed and the Government of India have agreed to transfer them back to us. So far as the Corner House Plot is concerned, that has been agreed to be handed over to us.

**Sri K. HANUMANTHAIA.**—That has been handed over during my time.

**Sri C. M. POONACHA.**—These are the two items of properties which do not find a place in the schedule appended to the notification to which I have already referred and the other items of properties are enumerated in the respective schedules of the notification and they are the permanent properties of the Government of India in the Defence Department. Whether they are properly utilised or not is a matter which is their concern and we have not very much to say about it.

**Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.**—Now that the Government was pleased to say that these properties vest with the Government of India, at the time of vesting these properties with the Government of India, has the Government of Mysore been compensated in any form by way of exchange of land or by way of money?

**Sri C. M. POONACHA.**—They belong to the Government of India. These lands were held by the Crown Representative, i.e., the Viceroy and the Governor General and at the time of retrocession they were reserved and subsequently they vested with the Government of India. Till then they were held by the Government of India and they continue to be so.

#### **Oath administered by Chief Minister to the Deputy Ministers.**

**Q.—1209. Sri U. S. NAYAK (Udipi).**—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

whether it is a fact that the Chief Minister administered the oath of office and secrecy to the three Deputy Ministers?

**A.—Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA (Chief Minister).**—The Chief Minister administered the oath of secrecy but not the oath of office.